

FOLIA 385

Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica 22 (2023)

Hikmet Seyhan Özarslan On absolute summability of infinite series and Fourier series

Abstract. In the present paper, a theorem on $\theta - |T; \delta|_k$ summability method of an infinite series is proved, and also by using this method, a result on summability of a trigonometric Fourier series is obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . Let $T = (t_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e. a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then Tdefines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $Ts = (T_n(s))$, where

$$T_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n t_{nv} s_v, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let (θ_n) be any sequence of positive real numbers. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\theta - |T; \delta|_k$, $k \ge 1$ and $\delta \ge 0$, if (see [11]),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_n(s) - T_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty.$$
(1)

Further, two lower semimatrices $\overline{T} = (\overline{t}_{nv})$ and $\hat{T} = (\hat{t}_{nv})$ are defined as follows:

$$\bar{t}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^{n} t_{ni}, \qquad n, v = 0, 1, \dots.$$
(2)

AMS (2020) Subject Classification: 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99, 42A24.

Keywords and phrases: absolute matrix summability, Fourier series, summability factors, infinite series.

ISSN: 2081-545X, e-ISSN: 2300-133X.

$$\hat{t}_{00} = \bar{t}_{00} = t_{00}, \quad \hat{t}_{nv} = \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3)

and

$$\bar{\Delta}T_n(s) = T_n(s) - T_{n-1}(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{t}_{nv} a_v.$$
(4)

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \qquad (P_{-k} = p_{-k} = 0, \ k \ge 1).$$

By taking $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, $\delta = 0$ and $t_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in (1), we get $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability method (see [1]). For any sequence (λ_n) , it should be noted that $\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$, $\Delta^0 \lambda_n = \lambda_n$ and $\Delta^k \lambda_n = \Delta \Delta^{k-1} \lambda_n$ for k = 1, 2, ... (see [7]).

It should be noted that (y_n) is the *n*-th (C, 1) mean of the sequence (na_n) , i.e. $y_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^n va_v$. Also, if we write $X_n = \sum_{v=0}^n \frac{p_v}{P_v}$, then (X_n) is a positive increasing sequence tending to infinity as $n \to \infty$.

2. Known Result

The following theorem has been proved in [3].

Theorem 1

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = O(np_n) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

If the conditions

$$\lambda_m = o(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} nX_n \left| \Delta^2 \lambda_n \right| = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{\left| y_n \right|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(6)

hold, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

3. Main Result

There are many papers on the field of summability, some of them are [4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In this paper, Theorem 1 is generalized to the $\theta - |T; \delta|_k$ summability method as in the following.

[128]

On absolute summability of infinite series and Fourier series

THEOREM 2 Let $T = (t_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{t}_{n0} = 1, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (7)

$$t_{n-1,v} \ge t_{nv} \qquad for \ n \ge v+1,\tag{8}$$

$$t_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right),\tag{9}$$

$$|\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| = O(v|\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})|).$$
(10)

Let $\theta_n p_n = O(P_n)$. If the conditions (5), (6) and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \theta_n^{\delta k-1} \frac{|y_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(11)

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| = O\left(\theta_v^{\delta k-1}\right) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(12)

are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\theta - |T; \delta|_k$, $k \ge 1$ and $0 \le \delta < 1/k$.

We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1 ([2]) Under the conditions of Theorem 2, we have

$$nX_n |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty, \tag{14}$$

$$X_n|\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
⁽¹⁵⁾

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let (W_n) denote T-transform of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$. Then, by (4), we have

$$\bar{\Delta}W_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \hat{t}_{n\nu} a_\nu \lambda_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\hat{t}_{n\nu} \lambda_\nu}{\nu} v a_\nu.$$
(16)

Applying Abel's transformation in (16), we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}W_n = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{t}_{nv}\lambda_v}{v}\right) \sum_{r=1}^v ra_r + \frac{\hat{t}_{nn}\lambda_n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n ra_r$$
$$= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \Delta_v \left(\hat{t}_{nv}\right) \lambda_v y_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \hat{t}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v y_v$$

$$+\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{t}_{n,v+1}\lambda_{v+1}\frac{y_v}{v} + \frac{n+1}{n}t_{nn}\lambda_n y_n$$
$$= W_{n,1} + W_{n,2} + W_{n,3} + W_{n,4}.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we will prove

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,r}|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

First, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where k>1 and $\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k'}=1,$ we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |y_v|^k \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1}.$$

By using (2) and (3), we get $\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv}) = t_{nv} - t_{n-1,v}$. Also, using (2), (7) and (8), we get

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (t_{n-1,v} - t_{nv}) \le t_{nn}.$$

Then, by using (9), (12), (15), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} t_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |y_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |y_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\lambda_v|^k |y_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \theta_v^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |y_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \theta_v^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_v| \frac{|y_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}}. \end{split}$$

Now, by applying Abel's transformation and using the conditions (11), (14) and (15), we get

[130]

On absolute summability of infinite series and Fourier series

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,1}|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v \theta_r^{\delta k-1} \frac{|y_r|^k}{X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v^{\delta k-1} \frac{|y_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Now, using (10), (9), (12), (13) and applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} & \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,2}|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\Delta \lambda_v| |y_v| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v |\Delta \lambda_v|)^k |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |y_v|^k \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| \right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v |\Delta \lambda_v|)^k |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |y_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} (v |\Delta \lambda_v|)^{k-1} (v |\Delta \lambda_v|) |y_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \theta_v^{\delta k-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{|y_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}}. \end{split}$$

Here, applying Abel's transformation and using the conditions (11), (6), (14) and (13), we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,2}|^k$$

= $O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v |\Delta \lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \theta_r^{\delta k-1} \frac{|y_r|^k}{X_r^{k-1}} + O(1)m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \theta_v^{\delta k-1} \frac{|y_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}}$
= $O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v |\Delta \lambda_v|) X_v + O(1)m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1)m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m$$
$$= O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty.$$

Now, using (10), (9), (12), (15), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,3}|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k |y_v|^k \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k |y_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\lambda_{v+1}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |y_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{t}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \theta_v^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|y_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}}. \end{split}$$

Then, as in $W_{n,1}$, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,3}|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Finally, as in $W_{n,1}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} & \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,4}|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \theta_n^{\delta k+k-1} t_{nn}^k |\lambda_n|^k |y_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \theta_n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |y_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \theta_n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n| \frac{|y_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

[132]

On absolute summability of infinite series and Fourier series

5. An application to Fourier series

Let f be a periodic function with period 2π and Lebesgue integrable over $(-\pi,\pi)$. The trigonometric Fourier series of f is defined as

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(x),$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx,$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(nx) dx,$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin(nx) dx.$$

Write

$$\phi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x+y) + f(x-y) \}$$
 and $\phi_1(y) = \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y \phi(u) du$.

If $\phi_1(y) \in \mathcal{BV}(0,\pi)$, then $y_n(x) = O(1)$, where $y_n(x)$ is the *n*-th (C,1) mean of the sequence $(nT_n(x))$ (see [6]). By using this fact, the following theorem on absolute summability of the trigonometric Fourier series is obtained in [3].

Theorem 3

If $\phi_1(y) \in \mathcal{BV}(0,\pi)$, and the sequences (p_n) , (λ_n) and (X_n) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, then the series $\sum T_n(x)\lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \ge 1$.

Now, Theorem 3 is generalized to the $\theta - |T;\delta|_k$ summability method as in the following form.

Theorem 4

Let $T = (t_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix which satisfies the conditions (7)-(10). If $\phi_1(y) \in \mathcal{BV}(0,\pi)$, and the sequences (p_n) , (λ_n) , (θ_n) and (X_n) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, then the series $\sum T_n(x)\lambda_n$ is summable $\theta - |T;\delta|_k$, $k \ge 1$ and $0 \le \delta < 1/k$.

If we take $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, $\delta = 0$ and $t_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 2 and Theorem 4, then we get Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, respectively.

References

- Bor, Hüseyin. "On two summability methods." Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 97, no. 1 (1985): 147-149. Cited on 128.
- Bor, Hüseyin. "On the absolute Riesz summability factors." Rocky Mountain J. Math. 24, no. 4 (1994): 1263-1271. Cited on 129.

- [3] Bor, Hüseyin. "Some new results on absolute Riesz summability of infinite series and Fourier series." *Positivity* 20, no. 3 (2016): 599-605. Cited on 128 and 133.
- [4] Bor, Hüseyin. "A new note on factored infinite series and trigonometric Fourier series." C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 359 (2021): 323-328. Cited on 128.
- [5] Bor, Hüseyin. "Factored infinite series and Fourier series involving almost increasing sequences." *Bull. Sci. Math.* 169 (2021): Paper No. 102990, 8 pp. Cited on 128.
- [6] Chen, Kien-Kwong. "Functions of bounded variation and the Cesàro means of a Fourier series." Acad. Sinica Science Record 1 (1945): 283-289. Cited on 133.
- [7] Hardy, Godfrey Harold. *Divergent Series*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1949. Cited on 128.
- [8] Kartal, Bağdagül. "Generalized absolute Riesz summability of infinite series and Fourier series." Inter. J. Anal. Appl. 18, no. 6 (2020): 957-964. Cited on 128.
- [9] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "Local properties of factored Fourier series." Int. J. Comp. Appl. Math. 1, no. 1 (2006): 93-96. Cited on 128.
- [10] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan, and Bağdagül Kartal. "A generalization of a theorem of Bor." J. Inequal. Appl. 179 (2017): 8pp. Cited on 128.
- [11] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "A new study on generalised absolute matrix summability methods." *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.* 12, no. 3 (2018): 199-205. Cited on 127.
- [12] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "A new factor theorem for absolute matrix summability." Quaest. Math. 42, no. 6 (2019): 803-809. Cited on 128.
- [13] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "Local properties of generalized absolute matrix summability of factored Fourier series." *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 43, no. 2 (2019): 263-272. Cited on 128.
- [14] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "On the localization of factored Fourier series." J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 29, no. 2 (2021): 344-354. Cited on 128.
- [15] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "A study on local properties of Fourier series." Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3) 39, no. 1 (2021): 201-211. Cited on 128.
- [16] Özarslan, Hikmet Seyhan. "Generalized absolute matrix summability of infinite series and Fourier series." *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 53, no. 4 (2022): 1083-1089. Cited on 128.

Hikmet Seyhan Özarslan Department of Mathematics Erciyes University 38039 Kayseri Turkey E-mail: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

Received: February 23, 2023; final version: July 7, 2023; available online: August 30, 2023.

[134]