

Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica XX (2021)

Hossein Sabzrou

A determinantal formula for circuits of integer lattices

Abstract. Let L be a not necessarily saturated lattice in \mathbb{Z}^n with a defining matrix B . We explicitly compute the set of circuits of L in terms of maximal minors of B . This has a variety of applications from toric to tropical geometry, from Gröbner to Graver bases, and from linear to binomial ideals.

1. Introduction

The notion of circuit originates from matroid theory (see [4]) and it appears in diverse areas of mathematics including linear spaces, linear ideals [9, p. 3], toric ideals ([9, p. 33], and [3]), toric varieties [8], tropical geometry [2], convex analysis [5], integer lattices, lattice ideals [6], Graver bases and Gröbner bases [6, 9]. The definition often varies partially from each context to the other. A general form of a circuit is when it lives in a general integer lattice as in [6, Definition 2.2]. In this paper we want to compute such circuits explicitly.

Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{Z}^n of dimension m , and let B be a defining matrix of L . By definition, B is an integer $n \times m$ matrix of rank m whose columns generate L as a lattice. The defining matrix B is not unique, but it is unique up to action of the group of unimodular matrices, $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$, consisting of all $m \times m$ integer matrices whose determinants are ± 1 . This uniqueness means that if B' is another defining matrix of L , then there exists a matrix $U \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $B' = BU$ [7, Corollary 4.3a].

According to [6, Definition 2.2], a non-zero element $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in L$ is said to be a circuit if the support of \mathbf{u} , i.e. $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) := \{i : u_i \neq 0\}$, is minimal

with respect to inclusion and $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{u} \notin L$ for any positive integer $d \neq 1$. By [6, Remark 2.5, and Proposition 2.6], the set of circuits of L is finite and is a special generating set of L . We present an explicit formula for a circuit of L in terms of maximal minors of the matrix B .

We recall some useful definitions and notation. For arbitrary integer sequences $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_t \leq m$, we denote by $B[i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t]$, the matrix obtained from B by choosing those rows and columns of B which correspond to i_1, \dots, i_s and j_1, \dots, j_t , respectively. We define

$$D_B[i_1, \dots, i_m] := \det B[i_1, \dots, i_m | 1, \dots, m].$$

For the integers k_i with $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{m-1} \leq n$, we consider the vector

$$\mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B := D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, 1] \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, n] \mathbf{e}_n,$$

where \mathbf{e}_i are standard vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n . The vector $\mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$ is the main ingredient of the formula. We denote by $\beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$ the greatest common divisor of the values $D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we assume it to be positive. In the special case, when $m = 1$, as the multi-index is empty, we set

$$\mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B := D_B[1] \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + D_B[n] \mathbf{e}_n.$$

For the lattice L , the lattice \tilde{L} consisting of all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which $r\mathbf{u} \in L$ for some positive integer r is called the saturation of L . Clearly, we have $\tilde{L} \supseteq L$, and if the equality occurs, we say that L is saturated. Since \tilde{L} is saturated, and $\dim(L) = \dim(\tilde{L}) = m$, there exists an integer $(n - m) \times n$ -matrix A such that $\tilde{L} = \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ (see [6, Proposition 2.1]). Moreover, by the elementary divisor theorem [1, Theorem 2.4.13], there exist positive integers d_1, \dots, d_m called the elementary divisors of L in \tilde{L} such that d_{i+1} divides d_i for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, and $\tilde{L}/L \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$. Hence \tilde{L}/L is a finite group of order $d_1 \cdots d_m$. Furthermore, there exists a basis $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$ for \tilde{L} as a lattice, such that $d_1\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, d_m\mathbf{b}_m$ is a basis of L .

We can now formulate the main result of the paper.

2. The main result

We use the same definitions and notation as in Section 1.

THEOREM 2.1

Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{Z}^n of dimension m , \tilde{L} be its saturation, and let B be a defining matrix of L . With the notation as above, the following conditions hold true.

(i) *If B' is another defining matrix of L , then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B = \pm \mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^{B'} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B = \beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^{B'}.$$

(ii) *The circuits of \tilde{L} are precisely of the form $\pm \bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$, where*

$$\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B := \mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B / \beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B.$$

(iii) *The circuits of L are precisely of the form*

$$\pm |\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B| \bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B,$$

where $|\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B|$ denotes the order, as a group element, of the image of $\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$ in \tilde{L}/L under the natural surjection $\tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{L}/L$.

A version of Theorem 2.1 for the circuits of linear spaces is given (without any proof) in [9, p. 3]. It is also restated in [2, Lemma 4.1.4], and is proved using properties of Gröbner bases. Here, we prove Theorem 2.1 by the properties of integer lattices.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (i). Since $B' = BU$ for a unimodular matrix U , then for any sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$, we have

$$B'[i_1, \dots, i_m | 1, \dots, m] = B[i_1, \dots, i_m | 1, \dots, m]U.$$

Therefore, depending on the value of $\det U$ which is $+1$ or -1 because U is unimodular, we have $D_{B'}[i_1, \dots, i_m] = \pm D_B[i_1, \dots, i_m]$. This implies that $\mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^{B'} = \pm \mathbf{C}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$, and hence $\beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^{B'} = \beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B$. Note that the greatest common divisor is assumed to be positive.

Let \tilde{B} be a defining matrix of \tilde{L} . In view of Theorem 2.1 (i), and the elementary divisor theorem [1, Theorem 2.4.13], we may assume that $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$ are the columns of \tilde{B} , and there exist positive integers d_1, \dots, d_m such that $d_1 \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, d_m \mathbf{b}_m$ are the columns of B . It is clear that

$$D_B[i_1, \dots, i_m] = d_1 \cdots d_m D_{\tilde{B}}[i_1, \dots, i_m].$$

To prove Theorem 2.1 (ii), we need some elementary lemmas concerning the lattice \tilde{L} . We assume that $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are the columns of A , where A is an integer $(n - m) \times n$ -matrix with the property that $\tilde{L} = \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. We also define that $\text{codim}(L) := d := n - m$, and hence $\text{rank}(A) = d$.

LEMMA 2.2

Let $\mathbf{u} \in L$ be a circuit with $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ and let A' be the submatrix of A whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_t}$. Then $\text{rank}(A') = t - 1$.

Proof. By [6, Proposition 2.4], \tilde{L} has a circuit $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ with the same support, that is, $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let s be the number of independent columns of the matrix A' . Then clearly $s \leq t$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_s}$ are independent columns of A' . If $s = t$, then the columns of A' are independent, and hence $\text{supp}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \emptyset$ which is impossible. If $s \leq t - 2$, then the columns $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_s}, \mathbf{a}_{i_{s+1}}$ are linearly dependent, and hence there exist relatively prime integers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{s+1}$ which are not simultaneously zero and they satisfy $\lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_s \mathbf{a}_{i_s} + \lambda_{s+1} \mathbf{a}_{i_{s+1}} = 0$. Then for the vector $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_s \mathbf{e}_{i_s} + \lambda_{s+1} \mathbf{e}_{i_{s+1}}$ in which $\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_s}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{s+1}}$ are standard vectors of \mathbb{Z}^n , we have $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \{i_1, \dots, i_{s+1}\}$. Since $s + 1 \leq t - 1$, we have $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \subsetneq \text{supp}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ contradicting $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is a circuit of \tilde{L} . Hence the only possible case is $s = t - 1$.

COROLLARY 2.3 (cf. [9, Lemma 4.8])

If $\mathbf{u} \in L$ is a circuit, then $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u})$ has at most $\text{codim}(L) + 1$ elements. In other words, $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{u})| \leq d + 1$.

Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.4 (cf. [9, Lemma 4.9] and [10, Proposition 1.9.9])

The circuits of \tilde{L} are precisely all vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{u} = \pm \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (-1)^i \det(\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_{j_i}}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}}) \mathbf{e}_{j_i}$$

where $\{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}$ is the greatest common divisor of $\det(\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_{j_i}}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, d + 1$, and it is assumed to be positive.

Proof. We prove the result in two steps.

1ST STEP. Let $n = d + 1$. Then $j_i = i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d + 1$, and $\dim(\tilde{L}) = 1$. Since $\text{rank}(A) = d$, there is some i for which the columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1}$ of the matrix A are linearly independent. For a nonzero element $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{d+1}) \in \tilde{L}$, we have

$$u_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + u_{i-1} \mathbf{a}_{i-1} + u_{i+1} \mathbf{a}_{i+1} \dots + u_{d+1} \mathbf{a}_{d+1} = -u_i \mathbf{a}_i.$$

Clearly, $u_i \neq 0$, and using Cramer's rule of elementary linear algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &= \frac{\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_i}, \dots, -u_i \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1})}{\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1})} \\ &= (-1)^{i-j} u_i \frac{\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_j}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1})}{\det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1})} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, d + 1$. Substituting the above values of u_j in the expression $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} u_j \mathbf{e}_j$, we see that $\mathbf{u} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} (-1)^j \det(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}_j}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{d+1}) \mathbf{e}_j$, where α, β are integers and are assumed to be relatively prime. If, in addition, we assume that \mathbf{u} is a circuit of \tilde{L} , then it is easy to show that $\beta = \pm 1$, and α is the desired greatest common divisor.

2ND STEP. First we assume that $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{L}$ is a circuit with $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$. Then $t \leq d + 1$, by Corollary 2.3. The submatrix A' whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_t}$ has rank $t - 1$, by Lemma 2.2. Since $\text{rank}(A) = d$, we can add $d - t + 1$ columns of A to the matrix A' such that the resulting $d \times (d + 1)$ -matrix A'' has rank d . Let $\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}}$ be the columns of A'' . Note that $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}\}$. The restriction $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ of \mathbf{u} to $\{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}\}$ lives in $\text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A'')$, and hence it has the desired form, by the first step. This shows that \mathbf{u} is also of desired form.

Conversely, assume that \mathbf{u} has the form given by the lemma. We want to show that \mathbf{u} is a circuit of \tilde{L} . Since \mathbf{u} is nonzero, at least one of the determinants is nonzero. This implies that the matrix with the columns $\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}}$ has rank d . Hence $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{L} = \text{Ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$, by the first step. Since the coordinates of \mathbf{u} are relatively prime, we only have to show that the support of \mathbf{u} is minimal.

Let $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{L}$, and $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{u})$. For an element $j_i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{u})$, we have $\det(\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_{j_i}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}}) \neq 0$, by definition of \mathbf{u} . This shows that

$$\{\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_{j_i}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_{d+1}}\}$$

is linearly independent, and hence $j_i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$. Therefore $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) = \text{supp}(\mathbf{u})$, as required.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii). Let \mathbf{u} be a circuit in \tilde{L} . Let moreover

$$\sigma := \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i := \sigma \cup \{j_i\}.$$

Note that each subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is ordered by the ordering induced from $1 < 2 < \dots < n$. Using Lemma 2.4, and [6, Theorem 2.8], we can write

$$\mathbf{u} = \pm \frac{1}{\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (-1)^i (-1)^{1+\dots+d+\sum_{i \in \sigma_i} i} C(A) D_{\tilde{B}}[\sigma_i] \mathbf{e}_{j_i}.$$

Here $C(A)$ stands for the greatest common divisor of all maximal minors of the matrix A . We may assume that

$$\alpha_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}} = C(A) \alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}$$

for some positive integer $\alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}$. If we move the row of $\tilde{B}[\sigma_i | 1, \dots, m]$ corresponding to the index j_i to the bottom of the matrix, then it is easy to see that

$$D_{\tilde{B}}[\sigma_i] = (-1)^{n-j_i-(d+1-i)} D_{\tilde{B}}[(\sigma, j_i)],$$

where (σ, j_i) is the ordered set obtained from σ by adding j_i to it as the last element. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \pm \frac{1}{\alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} (-1)^{2(i-j_i)} (-1)^{1+\dots+d+\sum_{i \in \sigma} i} (-1)^{m-1} D_{\tilde{B}}[(\sigma, j_i)] \mathbf{e}_{j_i} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} D_{\tilde{B}}[(\sigma, j_i)] \mathbf{e}_{j_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma := \{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \pm \frac{1}{\alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} D_{\tilde{B}}[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, j_i] \mathbf{e}_{j_i} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{d_1 \dots d_m \alpha'_{j_1 \dots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, j_i] \mathbf{e}_{j_i}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = k_\ell$ for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, then $D_{\tilde{B}}[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, i] = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \pm \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_m \alpha'_{j_1 \cdots j_{d+1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, i] \mathbf{e}_i \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\beta_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} D_B[k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, i] \mathbf{e}_i = \pm \bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}^B, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (iii). By [6, Proposition 2.4], there is a one to one correspondence between the circuits of L and those of \tilde{L} . In fact, $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{L}$ is a circuit of \tilde{L} if and only if $m\mathbf{u}$ is a circuit of L , where m is the smallest positive integer with the property that $m\mathbf{u} \in L$. But such an integer m is clearly the order of $\mathbf{u} + L$ as a group element of \tilde{L}/L . Therefore, the result follows from (ii) of Theorem 2.1.

EXAMPLE 2.5

Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{Z}^3 whose basis is $\{(6, -2, 4), (2, 2, 0)\}$. Using Theorem 2.1, we compute the circuits of L . It is appropriate to use a computer algebra system for computations, but in this example all computations can be easily checked by hand. Clearly $\{(8, 0, 4), (2, 2, 0)\}$ is another basis of L . It follows from the shape of this basis that $\{(2, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\}$ is a basis of \tilde{L} , and $d_1 = 4, d_2 = 2$ are the elementary divisors of L in \tilde{L} . The matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a defining matrix of L . We have $n = 3, m = 2$, and hence 6 circuits by Theorem 2.1 which are $\pm \bar{\mathbf{C}}_{k_1}^B$ for $k_1 = 1, 2, 3$. More precisely, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{k_1}^B = D_B[k_1, 1] \mathbf{e}_1 + D_B[k_1, 2] \mathbf{e}_2 + D_B[k_1, 3] \mathbf{e}_3.$$

Therefore,

- 1) $\mathbf{C}_1^B = D_B[1, 2] \mathbf{e}_2 + D_B[1, 3] \mathbf{e}_3 = 16\mathbf{e}_2 - 8\mathbf{e}_3$, $\beta_1^B = 8$, and $\bar{\mathbf{C}}_1^B = 2\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3$.
- 2) $\mathbf{C}_2^B = D_B[2, 1] \mathbf{e}_1 + D_B[2, 3] \mathbf{e}_3 = -16\mathbf{e}_1 - 8\mathbf{e}_3$, $\beta_2^B = 8$, and $\bar{\mathbf{C}}_2^B = -2\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_3$.
- 3) $\mathbf{C}_3^B = D_B[3, 1] \mathbf{e}_1 + D_B[3, 2] \mathbf{e}_2 = 8\mathbf{e}_1 + 8\mathbf{e}_2$, $\beta_3^B = 8$, and $\bar{\mathbf{C}}_3^B = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$.

It is easy to see that $|\bar{\mathbf{C}}_1^B| = |\bar{\mathbf{C}}_2^B| = 4$, and $|\bar{\mathbf{C}}_3^B| = 2$. Thus the circuits of L are

- 1) $\pm |\bar{\mathbf{C}}_1^B| \bar{\mathbf{C}}_1^B = \pm 4(2\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3) = \pm(0, 8, -4)$.
- 2) $\pm |\bar{\mathbf{C}}_2^B| \bar{\mathbf{C}}_2^B = \pm 4(-2\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_3) = \pm(-8, 0, -4)$.
- 3) $\pm |\bar{\mathbf{C}}_3^B| \bar{\mathbf{C}}_3^B = \pm 2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) = \pm(2, 2, 0)$.

References

- [1] Henri, Cohen. *A course in computational algebraic number theory*. Vol. 138 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1993. Cited on 122 and 123.

- [2] Maclagan, Diane, and Bernd Sturmfels. *Introduction to tropical geometry*. Vol. 161 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2015. Cited on 121 and 123.
- [3] Martínez-Bernal, José, and Rafael Heraclio Villarreal. "Toric ideals generated by circuits." *Algebra Colloq.* 19, no. 4 (2012): 665-672. Cited on 121.
- [4] Oxley, James. *Matroid theory*. Vol. 21 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011. Cited on 121.
- [5] Rockafellar, Ralph Tyrrell. "The elementary vectors of a subspace of R^N ." in: *Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications; proceedings of the conference held at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, April 10-14, 1967*, 104-127. Vol. 4 of *Monograph Series in Probability and Statistics*. Chapel Hill: Univ. North Carolina Press, 1969. Cited on 121.
- [6] Sabzrou, Hossein. "A degree bound for the Graver basis of non-saturated lattices." *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 39, no. 5 (2013): 893-901. Cited on 121, 122, 123, 125 and 126.
- [7] Schrijver, Alexander. *Theory of linear and integer programming*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. Chichester, Weinheim: Wiley, 2011. Cited on 121.
- [8] Sturmfels, Bernd. "Equations defining toric varieties." in: *Algebraic Geometry Santa Cruz 1995, Part 2*. Vol. 62.2 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1997. Cited on 121.
- [9] Sturmfels, Bernd. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*. Vol. 8 of *University Lecture Series*. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1996. Cited on 121, 123 and 124.
- [10] Villarreal, Rafael Heraclio. *Monomial algebras. Second edition. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics*. Boca Raton, London, New York: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, 2015. Cited on 124.

*School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science
College of Science
University of Tehran
P.O. Box 14155-6455
Tehran
Iran
E-mail: sabzrou@ut.ac.ir*

*Received: October 21, 2021; final version: December 29, 2021;
available online: January 13, 2022.*